

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/420,334		10/18/1999	STEVEN D. LACY	98-11CIP1RCE	2647		
22905	7590	03/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
SYMYX T	ECHNOL	OGIES INC	MARSCHEL, ARDIN H				
LEGAL DE	PARTME	TV					
3100 CENTI	RAL EXP	RESS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SANTA CLA	ARA, CA	95051	1631				

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		09/420,33	34	LACY ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ardin Mars		1631					
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication approximation of the communication approximation approxima	ppears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence ad	ldress				
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 10 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the provisions of a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the provision of	I. 1.136(a). In no even be be within the state of will apply and will ute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty ill expire SIX (6) MONT lication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timel THS from the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.				
Status									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	November 2	<u>004</u> .						
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,3-9,11-14,19,21-24,27,29,37,39-4</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from cor 45,47-50,55,5	nsideration. 67-60,63,65 and	<u>91-100</u> is/are rejected.					
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b)	objected to b	y the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E								
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee iority docume au (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Ap ents have been r e 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National	Stage				
Attachmer	nt(s)								
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)			ummary (PTO-413)					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/06 er No(s)/Mail Date (<u>1 sheet)</u> .	8)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTC _·)-152)				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-326)

Application No. 09/420,334

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 1,3-9,11-14,19,21-24,27,29,37,39-45,47-50,55,57-60,63,65 and 91-100.

Art Unit: 1631

DETAILED ACTION

Applicants' arguments, filed 11/5/04, have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either reiterated or newly applied. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

VAGUENESS AND INDEFINITENESS

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-14, 19, 21-24, 27, 29, 37, 39-45, 47-50, 55, 57-60, 63, 65, and 91-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 5, cites the defining of one or more destinations. This is interpreted as being inclusive of one destination which is therein defined. In claim 1, line 15, the limitation "defined destinations" is set forth. This indicates a plurality of destinations only without the option of one destination. Thus, the metes and bounds of claim 1 are vague and indefinite because the number of destination(s) cited in line 5 does not correspond to the claimed mapping practice in lines 12-20 which only cites plural destinations. Similarly, claims 3 and 4, for example, cite defining plural destinations whereas both singular and plural destinations are defined in said line 5 of claim 1. This unclarity is equivalently present also in independent claims 19, 37, and 55 and claims dependent from claims 1, 19, 37, and 55 due to their dependence. Clarification via clearer claim wording is requested.

Art Unit: 1631

PRIOR ART

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-14, 19, 21-24, 27, 29, 37, 39-45, 47-50, 55, 57-60, 63, 65, and 91-100 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flavin et al. (P/N 6,044,212); taken in view of Schultz et al. (P/N 6,004,617); taken further in view of Agrafiotis et al. (P/N 6,295,514).

The description of the basic invention involving computerized combinatorial library design including a gradient and equations for defining components therein as set forth in the combination of Flavin et al. taken in view of Schultz et al. has been set forth in previous office actions and is reiterated specifically from the previous office action, mailed 5/5/04. This combination, however, lacks the present limitations directed to a graphical user interface practice as well as modifying visual representations of defined destinations to indicate calculated amounts.

Art Unit: 1631

Agrafiotis et al. is also directed to computer design of a set of compounds as a combinatorial library via non-linear mapping as summarized therein in column 1, line 21, through column 2, line 34. It is noted that the gradient practice of the instant claims are also a non-linear compound mapping scheme. Agrafiotis et al. additionally summarizes a graphical user interface for such design practice as summarized in column 15, line 1, through column 17, line 43. The updating or modifying ability of such an interface is described in column 16, lines 45-59, as also instantly claimed such as in claim 1, last 2 lines, for example. Agrafiotis et al. indicates that someone skilled in the art appreciates the flexibility etc. of such a user interface in column 17, lines 2-11. This is reasonably interpreted as generic motivation and suggestion to utilize such an interface in order to obtain the benefits of flexibility etc. as set forth in Agrafiotis et al.

Thus, it would have been obvious to someone of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instant invention to utilize a graphical user interface, motivated by benefits such as flexibility etc. as set forth in Agrafiotis et al. to improve on the combinatorial library design practice of the combination of Flavin et al. with Schultz et al. to result in the practice of the instant invention.

OBVIOUSNESS TYPE DOUBLE PATENTING

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Art Unit: 1631

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-9, 11-14, 19, 21-24, 27, 29, 37, 39-45, 47-50, 55, 57-60, 63, 65, and 91-100 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 89-104 of copending Application No. 09/174,856. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both sets of claims are directed to methods of visualizing combinatorial library design using a graphical user interface which may be modified. The instant claims are more specific than the generic claims of the copending application serial number 09/174,856 which thus supports this rejection as one way anticipatory double patenting. See the MPEP at section 804, (II), (B), (1), (a).

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

No claim is allowed.

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technical Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technical Center 1600 via the Central PTO Fax Center. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CFR § 1.6(d)). The Central PTO Fax Center number is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1631

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ardin Marschel, Ph.D., AU 1631 Supervisory Patent Examiner, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to Legal Instrument Examiner, Tina Plunkett, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0549.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 21, 2005

And H Marsh 3/21/05